1. **Was an Education Fund tax increase less than $0.55 considered?**

A variety of tax rate increases were considered. However, the administration recommended a $0.55 tax rate increase to:

- **a)** Ensure that Education Fund revenues exceed Education Fund expenditures by June 2019, as required by the Illinois State Board of Education (ISBE)
- **b)** Eliminate the expected June 2017 Education Fund operating debt of $6.7 million by June 2021 and begin the process of building cash reserves in 2022
- **c)** Allocate sufficient funding to address annual increases in operational expenditures
- **d)** Begin the process of replacing and/or upgrading old textbooks and instructional resources, obsolete technology equipment, and failing security systems

2. **What is the academic and financial impact of asking for a smaller amount?**

Academically, the District would not be able to fund the replacement of aging textbooks in core academic areas, fund the upgrades to building technology infrastructure to support student access to technology, nor fund the upgrades to failing school security systems.

Financially, the District would not be able to ensure that Education Fund revenues would exceed Education Fund expenditures by June 2019, as required by the ISBE, nor would the District be able to eliminate its expected June 2017 Education Fund operating debt of $6.7 million.

3. **What is the financial impact of condensing the timelines for replacing textbooks and instructional materials and establishing cash reserves? (Instead of 4 year plan – a 2 year plan)**

The Education Fund Tax Rate increase needed to accomplish just one of these priorities would cost an additional $0.10 annually.

4. **Why were other Madison County school districts allocated so much more money than District 7 in the recent state budget?**

The main component of the State’s budget for K-12 Education funding is General State Aid. A significant portion, $250 million, of the increase in GSA funding this year was allocated based on low-income status, meaning low-income districts received a larger increase than District 7.

For example, District 7 was allocated an additional $77,720 while other Madison County school districts received the following increases:

- **Granite City** $918,605
- **Alton** $851,902
- **Collinsville** $644,259
- **Madison** $280,704
5. **Was the 2014 issuance of $9 million in Working Cash Bonds expected to solve the District’s financial problems?**

The 2014 issuance of $9 million in Working Cash Bonds was planned as a short-term, two-year solution that would address the immediate financial needs of the District for the 2014-2015 and 2015-2016 school years, when hopefully State and local funding sources would improve.

It was clearly communicated that if funding did not improve, the cash received from the issuance of the Working Cash Bonds would be exhausted at the end of the 2015-2016 school year. Working Cash reserves were depleted at the end of 2015-2016 as expected.

6. **Why will the district be in more debt at the end of this year if expenditure reductions were made and fee increases were implemented?**

The District ended the 2015-2016 school year with an Education Fund deficit of approximately $4 million and negative fund balance (debt) of over $4 million. The District funded the 2015-2016 deficit by depleting all of its remaining working cash reserves.

As a result, the District entered 2016-2017 with no reserves to offset projected Education Fund deficits. Even with approximately $2 million in operating expenditure reductions and fee increases implemented for the 2016-2017 school year, the District will be facing an Education Fund deficit of approximately $2.7 million.

Therefore, the Education Fund negative fund balance (debt) is projected to increase to approximately $6.7 million at the end of the 2016-2017 school year.

7. **Since 2009, how have the District’s continued budget deficits impacted district operations?**

Class sizes at 3rd – 12th grade have increased over the last eight years. Certified positions such as classroom teachers, special education support teachers, social workers, psychologists, media specialists, fine and performing arts teachers, and central office administrators have been reduced.

Other employees such as custodians, maintenance and grounds workers, food service workers, and other categories of employees have been reduced. Remaining employees have assumed additional responsibilities as a result of the staff reductions.

Academically, the District has not been able to fund the replacement of aging textbooks in core academic areas, fund the upgrades to building technology infrastructure to support student access to technology, nor fund the upgrades to failing school security systems.

8. **How has the financial condition of the District been communicated to the public over the last few years?**

a) The District e-mails parents and employees information through the Superintendent’s Comments after each Board of Education meeting.

b) Board meetings are televised on the local access channel.

c) **Focus on Finance**, a weekly video communication from the Superintendent that began in February 2016, has been e-mailed to all parents and employees and is also archived and posted on the District’s main website and all school websites, and on the District 7 Facebook page and all schools’ Facebook pages.

d) EdGlenToday.com and the Edwardsville Intelligencer report on all Board of Education meetings and frequently post the link to Focus on Finance.
9. How long has it been since an Education Fund referendum was approved by the District 7 community?

The last Education Fund referendum approved by the District 7 community was in 1977.

10. Why has the District been on the State’s Financial Watch List since 2009?

The District has been on the State’s Financial Watch List since 2009 for the following reasons:

a) The Education Fund has continually run operating deficits ranging from $2.2 million to $4.7 million annually,
b) The relatively low amount of "cash-on-hand" at the end of each fiscal year, and
c) The high amount of outstanding debt due to the following:
   a. Voter-approved referenda to construct new school buildings (Edwardsville High School, Liberty Middle School, Cassens, Goshen and Worden Elementary Schools) and renovate existing school buildings and
   b. Issuance of Working Cash Bonds to provide funds to assist with the District’s continuing Education Fund deficits.


In addition to the reasons the District has been on the State’s Financial Watch List, at the end of the 2014-2015 school year, the District had a combined negative fund balance in its operating funds (Education, Operations and Maintenance, Transportation and Working Cash Funds).

12. How could the State’s designation as “certified” impact the District?

Under Illinois School Code, school districts that are “certified” as being in financial difficulty can be placed under a State financial oversight panel which would control the District’s local decisions that impact financial operations.

13. If the Education Fund referendum is approved by voters in November 2016, when would the school district begin receiving the additional funds?

The District would begin receiving the additional funds in June 2017 to support 2017-2018 school year expenditures.

14. Have the District’s total Education Fund expenditures increased since FY 2009?

Expenditures have actually decreased. In 2009 the District’s Total Education Fund expenditures were $51.5 million. In FY 2016, the District’s Total Education Fund expenditures were $49.6 million.
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Historical Perspective:
As has been the case for the past seven years, the District continues to face significant budget challenges due to the following:

- Declining revenue from the State due to continued proration of State funding in Transportation, Special Education, and General State Aid
- Stagnation of EAV (property tax revenues)
- Increases in employee salary and benefits
- Personnel needed to maintain class size

As a result, District 7 was placed on the State’s Financial Watch List in 2009, a designation that remains today.
District 7 Sources of Revenue

- Local Property Tax Revenue: 78%
- State Funding: 17%
- Federal Funding: 5%
State Funding

Annual Loss FY 2016 vs FY 2008 $7.3 million

Total Cumulative Loss since FY 2008 $46.8 million

Updated August 8, 2016
District EAV - Property Taxes

• The largest revenue source for the District is local revenue, which comprises approximately 80% of the District’s total funding support.
• The largest source of local revenue is property taxes.
District 7 EAV

• Beginning in 2009 when the local housing market and state economy began to falter, the District’s EAV growth came to a sudden stop.

• Property tax revenues collected for the Education Fund have been essentially unchanged since 2008, while State funding has declined by over $7.3 million annually over the same period.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School District</th>
<th>Annual Federal Funding</th>
<th>Poverty</th>
<th>Total Student Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Granite City</td>
<td>$8,433,460</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>6329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collinsville</td>
<td>$4,768,267</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>6603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alton</td>
<td>$5,834,432</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>6349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edwardsville</td>
<td>$2,228,077</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>7611</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Federal Funding

Even though these local districts have 1000-1300 fewer students, they receive between $2.5 and $6.2 million more each year in federal funds than District 7 due to their significantly higher poverty levels.
2015-2016 Financial Summary

Despite some improvement in the District’s operating fund balances at the end of the 2015-2016 school year, the District still ended the year with a negative operating fund balance of close to $4 million.

As projected, the District depleted its working cash reserves to finish the 2015-2016 school year, leaving no reserves to assist with the 2016-2017 budget.
The District will remain on the Financial Watch List and will continue being monitored by the Illinois State Board of Education, which was initiated during the 2015-2016 school year as a result of the 2014-2015 year-end audit.
In addition, while the District was not designated for Certification of Financial Difficulty by the State Board last year, the District could be certified in 2017 as a result of ending the school year with negative operating fund balances in both 2014-2015 and 2015-2016.

Once “certified” by the ISBE, a school district may be subject to financial oversight by the State of Illinois – a solution that no one finds acceptable on any level.
2016-2017 Budget Summary

As the District enters the 2016-2017 school year, it will again be facing an Education Fund deficit of $2.7 million despite approximately $2 million in operating expenditure reductions and fee increases implemented for the upcoming school year.
As a result, the District is estimating that its negative operating fund balance in the Education Fund will be approximately $6.7 million at the end of the 2016-2017 school year.

While the District was able to avoid borrowing in June to finish the 2015-2016 school year, it will borrow using Tax Anticipation Warrants to pay bills and salaries to complete the 2016-2017 school year.
What has the Board of Education done since 2008 to address the massive decline in state and local funding?
Steps Taken to Address Budget Challenges

• Reduced operating expenditures by over $12 million
• Depleted cash reserves of $9 million
• Refinanced a portion of the District’s outstanding debt
• Froze salaries of all employees
• Approved the issuance of $9 million in Working Cash bonds in December 2013 as a temporary measure; those funds were depleted as projected on June 30, 2016
# Impact on Staffing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Classified (Cafeteria, Custodians, Grounds/Maintenance, Assistants, Monitors, SSOs)</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Staff (Teachers, Social Workers, Psychologists, Speech/Language Pathologists, Nurses)</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrators</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Student Enrollment</strong></td>
<td><strong>7599</strong></td>
<td><strong>7541</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Despite reducing staff by 101 positions and reducing other operational expenditures in an effort to offset rapidly declining revenue since 2009, the Education Fund has continually run operating deficits ranging from $2.2 million to $4.7 million annually.
Other Areas Negatively Impacted by the Financial Crisis

Because of the financial crisis, the District has been unable to:

• Maintain the curriculum development cycle
• Upgrade and replace instructional materials and textbooks
• Upgrade building technology infrastructure to support student access to technology
• Maintain technology replacement cycle
• Upgrade school security systems
• Maintain necessary cash reserves
In December 2015, the Board and administration committed to focusing on a permanent, long-term solution to bring financial stability to District 7.
What is necessary to ensure the ongoing financial stability and academic excellence of the school district?

An Education Fund tax rate increase.
What does the EDUCATION FUND support?
What is the cost of ensuring the long-term financial stability and academic excellence of District 7?
• $2.7 million annually to ensure that Education Fund revenues equal Education Fund expenditures by June 2019, as required by the ISBE

• $1.7 million annually to eliminate the expected June 2017 Education Fund operating debt of $6.7 million by June 2021 and begin the process of building cash reserves in 2022
• $1.5 million annually to allocate sufficient funding to address annual increases in operational expenditures, such as:
  – Personnel necessary to address enrollment
  – Contractual costs related to salaries and benefits
  – Inflationary cost increases in food, software, and instructional materials and supplies
• $1 million annually to begin the process of addressing academic, technology, and security needs, including:
  – Replacing all textbooks in core academic areas
  – Restoring the curriculum review cycle
  – Upgrading building technology infrastructure to support student access to technology
  – Restoring the technology replacement cycle
  – Updating school security systems
Total cost to ensuring the long-term financial stability and academic excellence of District 7:

- $6.9 million annually
- OR
- an increase of $0.55 per $100 of assessed valuation
Current District 7 School Tax Rate: $4.22

Estimated Tax Rate with Proposed Increase: $4.77
## Local School Year Tax Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCHOOL DISTRICT</th>
<th>TOTAL SCHOOL TAX RATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belleville District 118 and Belleville District 201</td>
<td>$5.7962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’Fallon District 90 and O’Fallon District 203</td>
<td>$5.3776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethalto</td>
<td>$5.3221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alton CUSD #11</td>
<td>$5.0326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highland CUSD #5</td>
<td>$5.0170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triad CUSD #2</td>
<td>$4.9043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collinsville CUSD #10</td>
<td>$4.8627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granite City CUSD #9</td>
<td>$4.5833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edwardsville CUSD #7</td>
<td>$4.2167</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Taxpayer Impact

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Home Value</th>
<th>Monthly Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>$20.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$27.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$35.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>$43.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The average assessed valuation of a home throughout the entire District 7 community is between $165,000 and $175,000.
A tax rate increase is necessary to:

• Ensure that Education Fund revenues equal Education Fund expenditures by June 2019, as required by the ISBE

• Eliminate the expected June 2017 Education Fund operating debt of $6.7 million by June 2021 and begin the process of building cash reserves in 2022

• Allocate sufficient funding to address annual increases in operational expenditures

• Begin the process of addressing academic, technology, and security needs
The District will continue to monitor expenditures and make reductions as needed to address variables that impact the budget:

- The continued unreliability of state funding
- Annual changes to Equalized Assessed Valuation
- Future growth in student enrollment
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